

1 Q. Please state your name and business address
2 for the record.

3 A. My name is Christine Adams and my
4 business address is 472 W. Washington Street, Boise,
5 Idaho.

6 Q. By whom are you employed and in what
7 capacity?

8 A. I am employed as a financial support
9 technician by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission's
10 Fiscal Section. My job responsibilities include setting
11 up and maintaining assessment account files on all utility
12 companies doing business in Idaho that have tariffs or
13 price lists on file with our agency. These account files
14 include: notices of requests for gross intrastate revenue,
15 annual assessment fee billing statements, receipting
16 information, and other correspondence pertaining to gross
17 intrastate revenue and assessment billings.

18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

19 A. I am offering testimony in the proceeding
20 commonly referred to as Case No. GNR-U-03-3. In the Show
21 Cause proceeding I am offering evidence regarding the
22 failure of twenty-four (24) companies named in the Show
23 Cause Order to pay their 2002 regulatory fee. In
24 addition, these companies failed to report their gross
25 operating revenues for the 2001 calendar year as required

1 by *Idaho Code* § 61-1003.

2 **THE ANNUAL REGULATORY FEE**

3 Q. Please explain the annual regulatory fee.

4 A. Chapter 10 of Title 61 requires that each
5 public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the
6 Commission "shall pay to the commission in each year, a
7 special regulatory fee in such amount as the commission
8 shall find and determine to be necessary . . . to defray
9 the amount to be expended by the commission for expenses
10 in supervising and regulating the public utilities . . ."
11 *Idaho Code* § 61-1001.

12 Q. Is this fee applicable to Title 62
13 telecommunications corporations identified in this Show
14 Cause case?

15 A. Yes. *Idaho Code* § 62-611 states the
16 telephone corporations whose services
17 are subject to the provisions of [Title 62],
18 shall pay to the Commission a special
19 regulatory fee to be determined by the
20 Commission, pursuant to procedures set forth
21 in chapter 10, Title 61, *Idaho Code*, in such
22 amount as may be necessary to defray the
23 amount to be expended by the Commission for
24 expenses in supervising and regulating
25 telephone corporations pursuant to [Chapter 6
of Title 62].

1 Q. How is the fee calculated and assessed?

2 A. *Idaho Code* § 61-1003 requires that each public
3 utility

4 shall file with the Commission a return
5 verified by an officer or agent of the
6 public utilities . . . involved, showing
7 its gross operating revenues from its
8 intrastate utility . . . business in
9 Idaho for the preceding calendar year
10 during which it carried on such
11 intrastate utility or railroad business.

12 For purposes of this hearing, twenty-four Title 62
13 companies identified in Case No. GNR-U-03-3 failed to
14 report their intrastate gross operating revenues for the
15 2001 calendar year.

16 Q. What happens after the preceding year's annual
17 revenues are reported?

18 A. Once the utilities report their gross
19 intrastate operating revenues, the Commission determines
20 the proportional assessment that all public utilities must
21 pay based upon the Commission's annual appropriation by
22 the legislature. No later than April 15 of each year, the
23 Commission determines the proportional assessment. This
24 proportional assessment (in the form of a multiplier) is
25 then multiplied by each utility's reported gross operating
revenues. *Idaho Code* § 61-1004. For the 2002 regulatory
assessment, the Commission determined that "the
proportionate share of each utility's fee is to be

1 assessed at .2577% (.002577) of each utility's gross
2 intrastate operating revenues. In no case shall the
3 assessed regulatory fee be less than \$50.00 *Idaho Code*
4 § 61-1004(3)." Order No. 29005.

5 On April 22, 2002, the Commission mailed a
6 statement to each Title 62 utility notifying them of their
7 2002 annual assessment.

8 Q. How do utilities pay their assessments?

9 A. *Idaho Code* § 61-1005 requires that,

10 [o]n or before May 1st of each year, the
11 Commission shall notify each public utility
12 . . . by mail, of the amount of its fee
13 for the ensuing physical year . . . Such fee
14 shall be paid to the commission in equal
15 semiannual installments on or before the 15th
16 day of May and November in each fiscal year.

17 Q. What happens if payments are late?

18 A. Section 61-1005 further provides that,

19 If payment shall not be made on or before
20 said respective dates, the installment so due
21 shall bear interest at the rate of 6 percent
22 (6%) per annum until such time as the full
23 amount of the installment shall have been
24 paid. Upon failure, refusal or neglect of any
25 public utility or railroad corporation to pay
such fee the attorney general shall commence
an action in the name of the state to collect
the same.

Q. Please describe the procedures used to notify
the companies to report their preceding calendar year
revenues and to pay their regulatory assessments.

1 A. As previously mentioned, *Idaho Code*
2 § 61-1005 requires the Commission to notify each utility
3 of its regulatory fee by mail. According to Commission
4 Title 62 Rule 202 (IDAPA 31.42.01.202.02), each Title 62
5 corporation is required to provide the Commission with the
6 address of the principle place of
7 business of the telephone corporation,
8 and, if there is a principle place of
9 business in Idaho, the address of the
 principle place of business in Idaho,
 [and an] agent in Idaho for service of
 process by the Commission in the state
 of Idaho.

10
11 IDAPA 31.42.01.202.02 (b) and (c).

12 Rule 202.03 also provides that,

13 orders and other documents issued by the
14 Commission may be served by mail on the
15 agent for service of process listed
16 pursuant to Rule 202.01(c) of this Rule.
17 This service constitutes due and timely
18 notice to the telephone corporation, and
19 no further service is necessary to bind
20 the telephone corporation.

21 IDAPA 31.42.01.202.03.

22 Q. How were the Orders to Show Cause in
23 this proceeding served upon the companies?

24 A. Order No. 29185 in Case GNR-U-03-3 was service
25 dated January 27, 2003. *Idaho Code* § 62-619 provides that
 in all matters arising under the Chapter 6 of Title 62
 that are submitted to the Commission for decision, "shall
 be governed by the commission's rules of practice and

1 procedure." Commission Procedural Rule 16 provides that
2 the Commission Secretary shall serve all Orders by mail.
3 IDAPA 31.01.01.16.01. This Rule also requires the
4 Commission Secretary to serve complaints against utilities
5 upon the person designated for that purpose by the
6 utility. Furthermore,

7 All utilities must maintain on file with
8 the Commission Secretary a designation
9 of such a person. Summonses and complaints
directed to regulated utilities . . . may be
served by registered or certified mail.

10 IDAPA 31.01.01.16.02. Pursuant to Rule 16, the Commission
11 Secretary served the Show Cause Orders by certified mail.
12 *Idaho Code* § 61-615 also allows complaints against
13 utilities to be served by registered mail.

14 Q. Please describe the allegations against
15 American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc., Colorado
16 River Communications Corp., Complus, L.L.C., Correctional
17 Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C., Cybersentry,
18 Inc., Faxnet Corporation, Federal Transtel, Inc., GF
19 Enterprises, Ruth & Tara Millward, Nexstar Communications
20 Inc., Nor Communications, Inc., Overlook Communications
21 International Corporation, PTT Telekom, Inc., Quest
22 Telecommunications, Inc., Smitty's Pub, Telecom Network,
23 Inc., Telehub Network Services Corporation, Teltrust
24 Communications Services, Inc., Touchtone Network, Inc.,
25 USA Tele Corp., USBG, Inc., Vista Group International,

1 Inc., West End Communications, Inc., and Western State Pay
2 Phones ordered to Show Cause in Order No. 29185.

3 A. I will address each company individually with
4 the exception of Arrival Communications, Inc. and Miracle
5 Communications, which I recommend be removed from this
6 proceeding.

7 **1. American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc.**

8 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
9 American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc. asking it to
10 report its gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1,
11 2002. The Company did not timely report its gross
12 intrastate revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of
13 their annual assessment fee was mailed. Because the
14 Company initially failed to report its revenues, it was
15 assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* § 61-
16 1004(3). This statement also mentioned that the fee may
17 be paid in two equal installments, the first due no later
18 than May 15, 2002, and the second due no later than
19 November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee could be paid
20 no later than the first installment date. The Company did
21 not respond to this statement either.

22 Q. What happened next?

23 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
24 to American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc. stating
25 that its first half payment of the regulatory fee had not

1 been received. The amount owed, including calculated
2 interest of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for the first
3 half due no later than October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the
4 entire year's assessment.

5 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

6 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
7 has not received American Telecommunications Enterprise,
8 Inc.'s 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as
9 of January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

10 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
11 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
12 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

13 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
14 Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to
15 forward."

16 Q. Does American Telecommunications Enterprise,
17 Inc. have a current Certificate of Authority issued by the
18 Secretary of State to do business in Idaho?

19 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
20 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
21 information pertaining to American Telecommunications
22 Enterprise, Inc. According to the Secretary of State's
23 records, American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc.'s
24 Certificate was administratively revoked in March 2001.

25 Q. Why is this significant?

1 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
2 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
3 regulatory fee.

4 Q. Do you believe American Telecommunications
5 Enterprise, Inc. receives mail at the address on file with
6 the Commission Secretary?

7 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
8 American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc. was returned
9 by the Post Office as "unable to forward, box closed."

10 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
11 American Telecommunications Enterprise, Inc. communicated
12 with the Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

13 A. The Company has not communicated with the
14 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 8, 2000,
15 when it paid the 2000 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

16 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
17 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
18 the Company's designated service agent?

19 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
20 Cause was sent via certified mail to CT Corporation System
21 at 300 N. 6th St, Boise, ID 83701; J. Hambleton signed the
22 return receipt on January 28, 2003.

23 **2. Colorado River Communications Corporation**

24 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
25 Colorado River Communications Corporation asking it to

1 report its gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1,
2 2002. The Company did not timely report its gross
3 intrastate revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of
4 their annual assessment fee was mailed. Because the
5 Company initially failed to report its revenues, it was
6 assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code*
7 ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned that the fee
8 may be paid in two equal installments, the first due no
9 later than May 15, 2002, and the second due no later than
10 November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee could be paid
11 no later than the first installment date. The Company did
12 not respond to this statement either.

13 Q. What happened next?

14 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
15 to Colorado River Communications Corporation stating that
16 its first half payment of the regulatory fee had not been
17 received. The amount owed, including calculated interest
18 of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no
19 later than October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire
20 year's assessment.

21 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

22 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
23 has not received Colorado River Communications
24 Corporation's 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest
25

1 included as of January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past
2 due.

3 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
4 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
5 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

6 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
7 Post Office as "returned to sender, box closed no order."

8 Q. Does Colorado River Communications Corporation
9 have a current Certificate of Authority issued by the
10 Secretary of State to do business in Idaho?

11 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
12 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
13 information pertaining to Colorado River Communications
14 Corporation. According to the Secretary of State's
15 records, Colorado River Communications Corporation's
16 Certificate was administratively revoked in November 2000.

17 Q. Why is this significant?

18 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
19 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
20 regulatory fee.

21 Q. Do you believe Colorado River Communications
22 Corporation receives mail at the address on file with the
23 Commission Secretary?
24
25

1 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
2 Colorado River Communications Corporation was returned by
3 the Post Office as "box closed no order."

4 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
5 Colorado River Communications Corporation communicated
6 with the Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

7 A. The Company has not communicated with the
8 Commission regarding fee assessments since August 24,
9 1999, when it paid the 1999 regulatory assessment fee of
10 \$50.

11 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
12 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
13 the Company's designated service agent?

14 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
15 Cause was sent via certified mail to Prentice-Hall Corp.
16 System, 200 N 23rd St, Boise, ID 83702; Luella Lee signed
17 the return receipt on January 28, 2003.

18 **3. Complus, L.L.C.**

19 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
20 Complus, L.L.C. asking it to report its gross intrastate
21 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
22 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
23 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
24 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
25 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per

1 Idaho Code ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
2 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
3 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
4 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
5 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
6 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

7 Q. What happened next?

8 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
9 to Complus, L.L.C. stating that its first half payment of
10 the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
11 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was
12 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
13 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

14 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory
15 fee?

16 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
17 has not received Complus, L.L.C.'s 2002 regulatory fee of
18 \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and the
19 fee is well past due.

20 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
21 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
22 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

23 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
24 Post Office as "returned to sender, forwarding order
25 expired."

1 Q. Does Complus, L.L.C. have a current
2 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
3 to do business in Idaho?

4 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
5 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
6 information pertaining to Complus, L.L.C. According to
7 the Secretary of State's records, Complus, L.L.C.'s
8 Certificate was administratively cancelled in February
9 2000.

10 Q. Why is this significant?

11 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
12 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
13 regulatory fee.

14 Q. Do you believe Complus, L.L.C. receives mail
15 at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

16 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
17 Complus, L.L.C. was returned by the Post Office as
18 "forwarding order expired."

19 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
20 Complus, L.L.C. communicated with the Commission regarding
21 regulatory assessments?

22 A. The Company has never communicated with the
23 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
24 price list in March 1999.

25

1 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
2 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
3 the Company's designated service agent?

4 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show
5 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
6 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
7 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
8 NRAI has notified the Commission that Complus, L.L.C. is
9 no longer their client.

10 **4. Correctional Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C.**

11 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
12 Correctional Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C.
13 asking it to report its gross intrastate revenue on or
14 before April 1, 2002. The Company did not timely report
15 its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22, 2002, a
16 statement of their annual assessment fee was mailed.
17 Because the Company initially failed to report its
18 revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per *Idaho*
19 *Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned that the
20 fee may be paid in two equal installments, the first due
21 no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due no later
22 than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee could be
23 paid no later than the first installment date. The
24 Company did not respond to this statement either.

25 Q. What happened next?

1 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
2 to Correctional Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C.
3 stating that its first half payment of the regulatory fee
4 had not been received. The amount owed, including
5 calculated interest of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for
6 the first half due no later than October 15, 2002 or
7 \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

8 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

9 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
10 has not received Correctional Communications Systems of
11 Idaho, L.L.C.'s 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest
12 included as of January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past
13 due.

14 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
15 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
16 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

17 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
18 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
19 unable to forward."

20 Q. Does Correctional Communications Systems of
21 Idaho, L.L.C. have a current Certificate of Authority
22 issued by the Secretary of State to do business in Idaho?

23 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
24 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
25 information pertaining to Correctional Communications

1 Systems of Idaho, L.L.C. According to the Secretary of
2 State's records, Correctional Communications Systems of
3 Idaho, L.L.C.'s Certificate was administratively canceled
4 in February 1999.

5 Q. Why is this significant?

6 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
7 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
8 regulatory fee.

9 Q. Do you believe Correctional Communications
10 Systems of Idaho, L.L.C. receives mail at the address on
11 file with the Commission Secretary?

12 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
13 Correctional Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C. was
14 returned by the Post Office as "attempted, not known, not
15 deliverable as addressed, unable to forward."

16 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
17 Correctional Communications Systems of Idaho, L.L.C.
18 communicated with the Commission regarding regulatory
19 assessments?

20 A. The Company has not communicated with the
21 Commission regarding fee assessments since September 2,
22 1997, when it paid the 1997 regulatory assessment fee of
23 \$50.54.

1 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
2 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
3 the Company's designated service agent?

4 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
5 Cause was sent via certified mail to John G. Grant, 2710
6 Sunrise Rim Suite 240, Boise, ID 83705. The certified mail
7 was returned by the Post Office as "undeliverable as
8 addressed, no forwarding order on file."

9 **4. Cybersentry, Inc.**

10 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
11 Cybersentry, Inc. asking it to report its gross intrastate
12 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
13 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
14 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
15 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
16 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
17 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
18 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
19 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
20 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
21 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
22 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

23 Q. What happened next?

24 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
25 to Cybersentry, Inc. stating that its first half payment

1 of the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
2 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was
3 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
4 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

5 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

6 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
7 has not received Cybersentry, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory fee
8 of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and
9 the fee is well past due.

10 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
11 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
12 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

13 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
14 by the Post Office as "return to sender, unable to
15 forward."

16 Q. Does Cybersentry, Inc. have a current
17 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
18 to do business in Idaho?

19 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
20 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
21 information pertaining to Cybersentry, Inc. According to
22 the Secretary of State's records, Cybersentry, Inc.'s
23 Certificate was administratively revoked in November 2001.

24 Q. Why is this significant?
25

1 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
2 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
3 regulatory fee.

4 Q. Do you believe Cybersentry, Inc. receives mail
5 at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

6 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
7 Cybersentry, Inc. was returned by the Post Office as
8 "return to sender, unable to forward."

9 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
10 Cybersentry, Inc. communicated with the Commission
11 regarding regulatory assessments?

12 A. The Company has never communicated with the
13 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
14 price list in July 2000.

15 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
16 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
17 the Company's designated service agent?

18 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
19 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
20 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
21 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
22 NRAI has notified the Commission that Cybersentry, Inc. is
23 no longer their client.

24
25

1 **5. Faxnet Corporation**

2 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
3 Faxnet Corporation asking it to report its gross
4 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
5 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
6 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
7 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
8 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
9 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
10 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
11 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
12 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
13 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
14 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
15 this statement either.

16 Q. What happened next?

17 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
18 to Faxnet Corporation stating that its first half payment
19 of the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
20 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was
21 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
22 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

23 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

24 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
25 has not received Faxnet Corporation's 2002 regulatory fee

1 of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and
2 the fee is well past due.

3 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
4 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
5 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

6 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
7 by the Post Office as "return to sender, attempted
8 unknown, forward expired."

9 Q. Does Faxnet Corporation have a current
10 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
11 to do business in Idaho?

12 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
13 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
14 information pertaining to Faxnet Corporation. According
15 to the Secretary of State's records, Faxnet Corporation's
16 Certificate was administratively revoked in October 2000.

17 Q. Why is this significant?

18 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
19 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
20 regulatory fee.

21 Q. Do you believe Faxnet Corporation receives
22 mail at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

23 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
24 Faxnet Corporation was returned by the Post Office as
25 "return to sender, attempted unknown, forward expired."

1 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
2 Faxnet Corporation paid its regulatory assessment?

3 A. The Company last paid the annual \$50
4 regulatory assessment fee on June 14, 2000.

5 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
6 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
7 the Company's designated service agent?

8 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
9 Cause was sent via certified mail to CT Corporation System
10 at 300 N. 6th St, Boise, ID 83701; J. Hambleton signed the
11 return receipt on January 28, 2003.

12 **6. Federal Transtel, Inc.**

13 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
14 Federal Transtel, Inc. asking it to report its gross
15 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
16 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
17 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
18 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
19 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
20 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
21 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
22 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
23 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
24 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
25

1 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
2 this statement either.

3 Q. What happened next?

4 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
5 to Federal Transtel, Inc. stating that its first half
6 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
7 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
8 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
9 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
10 assessment.

11 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

12 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
13 has not received Federal Transtel, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory
14 fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003)
15 and the fee is well past due.

16 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
17 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
18 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

19 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
20 by the Post Office as "moved left no address, unable to
21 forward, return to sender."

22 Q. Does Federal Transtel, Inc. have a current
23 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
24 to do business in Idaho?

25

1 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
2 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
3 information pertaining to Federal Transtel, Inc.
4 According to the Secretary of State's records, Federal
5 Transtel, Inc.'s Certificate was withdrawn in December
6 1998.

7 Q. Why is this significant?

8 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
9 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
10 regulatory fee.

11 Q. Do you believe Federal Transtel, Inc. receives
12 mail at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

13 A. No. The October 1, 2002 letter mailed to
14 Federal Transtel, Inc. was returned by the Post Office as
15 "return to sender, moved left no address, unable to
16 forward."

17 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
18 Federal Transtel, Inc. communicated with the Commission
19 regarding regulatory assessments?

20 A. The Company has not communicated with the
21 Commission regarding fee assessments since July 3, 2001,
22 when it paid the 2001 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

23 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
24 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
25 the Company's designated service agent?

1 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show
2 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
3 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
4 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
5 NRAI has notified the Commission that Federal Transtel,
6 Inc. is no longer their client.

7 **7. GF Enterprises**

8 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to GF
9 Enterprises asking it to report its gross intrastate
10 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
11 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
12 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
13 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
14 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
15 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
16 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
17 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
18 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
19 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
20 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

21 Q. What happened next?

22 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
23 to GF Enterprises stating that its first half payment of
24 the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
25 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was

1 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
2 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

3 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

4 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
5 has not received GF Enterprises's 2002 regulatory fee of
6 \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and the
7 fee is well past due.

8 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
9 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
10 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

11 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
12 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
13 unable to forward."

14 Q. Does GF Enterprises have a current Certificate
15 of Existence issued by the Secretary of State to do
16 business in Idaho?

17 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
18 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
19 information pertaining to GF Enterprises. According to
20 the Secretary of State's records, GF Enterprises has never
21 had a Certificate of Existence.

22 Q. Why is this significant?

23 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
24 business in Idaho because it is in violation of *Idaho Code*
25 §§ 53-504 and 53-509.

1 Q. Do you believe GF Enterprises receives mail at
2 the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

3 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to GF
4 Enterprises was returned by the Post Office as "not
5 deliverable as addressed, unable to forward."

6 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time GF
7 Enterprises communicated with the Commission regarding
8 regulatory assessments?

9 A. The Company has never communicated with the
10 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
11 price list in November 1996.

12 **8. Ruth and Tara Millward**

13 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
14 Ruth and Tara Millward asking them to report their gross
15 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. They did
16 not timely report their gross intrastate revenue. On
17 April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee
18 was mailed. Because they initially failed to report their
19 revenues, they were assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
20 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
21 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
22 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
23 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
24 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
25 They did not respond to this statement either.

1 Q. What happened next?

2 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
3 to Ruth and Tara Millward stating that the first half
4 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
5 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
6 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
7 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
8 assessment.

9 Q. Have Ruth and Tara Millward paid the 2002
10 regulatory fee?

11 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
12 has not received Ruth and Tara Millward's 2002 regulatory
13 fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003)
14 and the fee is well past due.

15 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
16 Order No. 29185, directing Ruth and Tara Millward to
17 appear at the Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

18 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
19 by the Post Office as "undeliverable as addressed, no
20 forwarding address on file."

21 Q. Do Ruth and Tara Millward have a current
22 Certificate of Existence issued by the Secretary of State
23 to do business in Idaho?

24 A. No. Ruth and Tara Millward are operating as a
25 small business using their own names; therefore, they are

1 not required to obtain a Certificate of Existence with the
2 Secretary of State.

3 Q. Do you believe Ruth and Tara Millward receive
4 mail at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

5 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to Ruth
6 and Tara Millward was returned by the Post Office as
7 "undeliverable as addressed, no forwarding address on
8 file."

9 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time GF
10 Enterprises communicated with the Commission regarding
11 regulatory assessments?

12 A. Ruth and Tara Millward have never communicated
13 with the Commission regarding fee assessments since filing
14 their price list in April 1995.

15 **9. Nexstar Communications, Inc.**

16 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
17 Nexstar Communications, Inc. asking it to report its gross
18 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
19 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
20 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
21 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
22 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
23 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
24 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
25 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,

1 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
2 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
3 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
4 this statement either.

5 Q. What happened next?

6 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
7 to Nexstar Communications, Inc. stating that its first
8 half payment of the regulatory fee had not been received.
9 The amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
10 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
11 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
12 assessment.

13 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

14 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
15 has not received Nexstar Communications, Inc.'s 2002
16 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January
17 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

18 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
19 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
20 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

21 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
22 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
23 unable to forward."
24
25

1 Q. Does Nexstar Communications, Inc. have a
2 current Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary
3 of State to do business in Idaho?

4 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
5 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
6 information pertaining to Nexstar Communications, Inc.
7 According to the Secretary of State's records, Nexstar
8 Communications, Inc.'s Certificate was administratively
9 revoked in February 2000.

10 Q. Why is this significant?

11 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
12 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
13 regulatory fee.

14 Q. Do you believe Nexstar Communications, Inc.
15 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
16 Secretary?

17 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
18 Nexstar Communications, Inc. was returned by the Post
19 Office as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to
20 forward."

21 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
22 Nexstar Communications, Inc. communicated with the
23 Commission regarding regulatory assessments?
24
25

1 A. The Company has not communicated with the
2 Commission regarding fee assessments since June 2, 1999,
3 when it paid the 1999 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

4 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
5 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
6 the Company's designated service agent?

7 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
8 Cause was sent via certified mail to Stanley W. Welsh, 815
9 W. Washington, Boise, ID 83702. The return receipt was
10 signed on January 28, 2003; however, the signature was not
11 legible.

12 **10. Nor Communications, Inc.**

13 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
14 Nor Communications, Inc. asking it to report its gross
15 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
16 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
17 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
18 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
19 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
20 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
21 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
22 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
23 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
24 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the

25

1 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
2 this statement either.

3 Q. What happened next?

4 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
5 to Nor Communications, Inc. stating that its first half
6 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
7 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
8 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
9 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
10 assessment.

11 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

12 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
13 has not received Nor Communications, Inc.'s 2002
14 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January
15 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

16 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
17 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
18 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

19 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
20 Post Office as "attempted, not known."

21 Q. Does Nor Communications, Inc. have a current
22 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
23 to do business in Idaho?

24 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
25 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate

1 information pertaining to Nor Communications, Inc.
2 According to the Secretary of State's records, Nor
3 Communications, Inc.'s Certificate was administratively
4 revoked in December 2000.

5 Q. Why is this significant?

6 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
7 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
8 regulatory fee.

9 Q. Do you believe Nor Communications, Inc.
10 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
11 Secretary?

12 A. No. The October 1, 2002 letter mailed to Nor
13 Communications, Inc. was returned by the Post Office as
14 "return to sender, unable to forward."

15 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time Nor
16 Communications, Inc. communicated with the Commission
17 regarding regulatory assessments?

18 A. The Company has never communicated with the
19 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
20 price list in January 1999.

21 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
22 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
23 the Company's designated service agent?

24 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
25 Cause was sent via certified mail to Stanley W. Welsh,

1 815 W. Washington, Boise, ID 83702. The return receipt
2 was signed on January 28, 2003; however, the signature was
3 not legible.

4 **11. Overlook Communications International Corporation**

5 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
6 Overlook Communications International Corporation asking
7 it to report its gross intrastate revenue on or before
8 April 1, 2002. The Company did not timely report its
9 gross intrastate revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement
10 of their annual assessment fee was mailed. Because the
11 Company initially failed to report its revenues, it was
12 assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code*
13 ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned that the fee
14 may be paid in two equal installments, the first due no
15 later than May 15, 2002, and the second due no later than
16 November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee could be paid
17 no later than the first installment date. The Company did
18 not respond to this statement either.

19 Q. What happened next?

20 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
21 to Overlook Communications International Corporation
22 stating that its first half payment of the regulatory fee
23 had not been received. The amount owed, including
24 calculated interest of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for
25

1 the first half due no later than October 15, 2002 or
2 \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

3 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

4 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
5 has not received Overlook Communications International
6 Corporation's 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest
7 included as of January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past
8 due.

9 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
10 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
11 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

12 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
13 by the Post Office as "attempted, not known."

14 Q. Does Overlook Communications International
15 Corporation have a current Certificate of Authority issued
16 by the Secretary of State to do business in Idaho?

17 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
18 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
19 information pertaining to Overlook Communications
20 International Corporation. According to the Secretary of
21 State's records, Overlook Communications International
22 Corporation's Certificate was administratively revoked in
23 September 2002.

24 Q. Why is this significant?
25

1 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
2 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
3 regulatory fee.

4 Q. Do you believe Overlook Communications
5 International Corporation receives mail at the address on
6 file with the Commission Secretary?

7 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
8 Overlook Communications International Corporation was
9 returned by the Post Office as "attempted, not known."

10 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
11 Overlook Communications International Corporation
12 communicated with the Commission regarding regulatory
13 assessments?

14 A. The Company has not communicated with the
15 Commission regarding fee assessments since December 6,
16 2000, when it paid the 2000 regulatory assessment fee of
17 \$50.39

18 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
19 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
20 the Company's designated service agent?

21 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
22 Cause was sent via certified mail to CT Corporation System
23 at 300 N. 6th St, Boise, ID 83701; J. Hambleton signed the
24 return receipt on January 28, 2003.

25

1 **13. PTT Telekom, Inc.**

2 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
3 PTT Telekom, Inc. asking it to report its gross intrastate
4 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
5 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
6 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
7 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
8 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
9 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
10 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
11 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
12 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
13 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
14 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

15 Q. What happened next?

16 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
17 to PTT Telekom, Inc. stating that its first half payment
18 of the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
19 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was
20 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
21 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

22 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

23 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
24 has not received PTT Telekom, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory fee

1 of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and
2 the fee is well past due.

3 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
4 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
5 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

6 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
7 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed, no
8 forwarding order on file."

9 Q. Does PTT Telekom, Inc. have a current
10 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
11 to do business in Idaho?

12 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
13 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
14 information pertaining to PTT Telekom, Inc. According to
15 the Secretary of State's records, PTT Telekom, Inc.'s
16 Certificate was administratively revoked in November 2001.

17 Q. Why is this significant?

18 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
19 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
20 regulatory fee.

21 Q. Do you believe PTT Telekom, Inc. receives mail
22 at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

23 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to PTT
24 Telekom, Inc. was returned by the Post Office as "not
25 deliverable as addressed, no forwarding order on file."

1 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time PTT
2 Telekom, Inc. communicated with the Commission regarding
3 regulatory assessments?

4 A. The Company has not communicated with the
5 Commission regarding fee assessments since December 14,
6 2000, when it paid the 2000 regulatory assessment fee of
7 \$50.

8 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
9 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
10 the Company's designated service agent?

11 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
12 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
13 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
14 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
15 NRAI has notified the Commission that PTT Telekom, Inc. is
16 no longer their client.

17 **14. Quest Telecommunications, Inc.**

18 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
19 Quest Telecommunications, Inc. asking it to report its
20 gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
21 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
22 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
23 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
24 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
25 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement

1 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
2 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
3 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
4 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
5 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
6 this statement either.

7 Q. What happened next?

8 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
9 to Quest Telecommunications, Inc. stating that its first
10 half payment of the regulatory fee had not been received.

11 The amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
12 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
13 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
14 assessment.

15 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

16 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
17 has not received Quest Telecommunications, Inc.'s 2002
18 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January
19 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

20 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
21 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
22 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

23 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
24 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
25 unable to forward."

1 Q. Does Quest Telecommunications, Inc. have a
2 current Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary
3 of State to do business in Idaho?

4 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
5 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
6 information pertaining to Quest Telecommunications, Inc.
7 According to the Secretary of State's records, Quest
8 Telecommunications, Inc.'s Certificate was administratively
9 revoked in June 2001.

10 Q. Why is this significant?

11 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
12 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
13 regulatory fee.

14 Q. Do you believe Quest Telecommunications, Inc.
15 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
16 Secretary?

17 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to Quest
18 Telecommunications, Inc. was returned by the Post Office
19 as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to forward."

20 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
21 Quest Telecommunications, Inc. communicated with the
22 Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

23 A. The Company has not communicated with the
24 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 4, 1996,
25 when it paid the 1996 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

1 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
2 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
3 the Company's designated service agent?

4 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
5 Cause was sent via certified mail to CT Corporation System
6 at 300 N. 6th St, Boise, ID 83701; J. Hambleton signed the
7 return receipt on January 28, 2003.

8 **15. Smitty's Pub**

9 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
10 Smitty's Pub asking it to report its gross intrastate
11 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
12 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
13 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
14 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
15 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
16 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
17 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
18 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
19 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
20 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
21 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

22 Q. What happened next?

23 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
24 to Smitty's Pub stating that its first half payment of the
25 regulatory fee had not been received. The amount owed,

1 including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was now
2 \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October 15,
3 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

4 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

5 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
6 has not received Smitty's Pub's 2002 regulatory fee of
7 \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and the
8 fee is well past due.

9 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
10 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
11 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

12 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
13 by the Post Office as "undeliverable as addressed, no
14 forwarding order on file."

15 Q. Does Smitty's Pub have a current Certificate
16 of Existence issued by the Secretary of State to do
17 business in Idaho?

18 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
19 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
20 information pertaining to Smitty's Pub. According to the
21 Secretary of State's records, Smitty's Pub has never had a
22 Certificate of Existence.

23 Q. Why is this significant?

24 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
25 business in Idaho because it is in violation of *Idaho Code*

1 §§ 53-504 and 53-509.

2 Q. Do you believe Smitty's Pub receives mail at
3 the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

4 A. No. The October 1, 2002 letter mailed to
5 Smitty's Pub was returned by the Post Office as "return to
6 sender, no forward order on file, unable to forward."

7 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
8 Smitty's Pub communicated with the Commission regarding
9 regulatory assessments?

10 A. The Company has never communicated with the
11 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
12 price list in July 1995.

13 **16. Telcom Network, Inc.**

14 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
15 Telcom Network, Inc. asking it to report its gross
16 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
17 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
18 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
19 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
20 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
21 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
22 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
23 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
24 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
25 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the

1 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
2 this statement either.

3 Q. What happened next?

4 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
5 to Telcom Network, Inc. stating that its first half
6 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
7 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
8 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
9 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
10 assessment.

11 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

12 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
13 has not received Telcom Network, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory
14 fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003)
15 and the fee is well past due.

16 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
17 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
18 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

19 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
20 Post Office as "returned to sender, unable to forward."

21 Q. Does Telcom Network Inc. have a current
22 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
23 to do business in Idaho?

24 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
25 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate

1 information pertaining to Telcom Network Inc. According
2 to the Secretary of State's records, Telcom Network Inc.'s
3 Certificate was forfeited in December 1996.

4 Q. Why is this significant?

5 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
6 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
7 regulatory fee.

8 Q. Do you believe Telcom Network Inc. receives
9 mail at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

10 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
11 Telcom Network Inc. was returned by the Post Office as
12 "return to sender, unable to forward."

13 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
14 Telcom Network Inc. communicated with the Commission
15 regarding regulatory assessments?

16 A. The Company has not communicated with the
17 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 21, 1996,
18 when it paid the regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

19 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
20 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
21 the Company's designated service agent?

22 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show
23 Cause was sent via certified mail to Prentice-Hall Corp.
24 System, 200 N 23rd St, Boise, ID 83702; Luella Lee signed
25 the return receipt on January 28, 2003.

1 **17. Telehub Network Services Corporation**

2 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
3 Telehub Network Services Corporation asking it to report
4 its gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002.
5 The Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
6 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
7 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
8 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
9 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
10 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
11 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
12 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
13 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
14 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
15 this statement either.

16 Q. What happened next?

17 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
18 to Telehub Network Services Corporation stating that its
19 first half payment of the regulatory fee had not been
20 received. The amount owed, including calculated interest
21 of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no
22 later than October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire
23 year's assessment.

24 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?
25

1 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
2 has not received Telehub Network Services Corporation's
3 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of
4 January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

5 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
6 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
7 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

8 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
9 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
10 unable to forward."

11 Q. Does Telehub Network Services Corporation have
12 a current Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary
13 of State to do business in Idaho?

14 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
15 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
16 information pertaining to Telehub Network Services
17 Corporation. According to the Secretary of State's
18 records, Telehub Network Services Corporation's
19 Certificate was administratively revoked in March 2001.

20 Q. Why is this significant?

21 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
22 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
23 regulatory fee.

24
25

1 Q. Do you believe Telehub Network Services
2 Corporation receives mail at the address on file with the
3 Commission Secretary?

4 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
5 Telehub Network Services Corporation was returned by the
6 Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to
7 forward, return to sender, moved left no address."

8 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
9 Telehub Network Services Corporation communicated with the
10 Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

11 A. The Company has not communicated with the
12 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 4, 1999
13 when it paid the 1999 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

14 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
15 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
16 the Company's designated service agent?

17 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
18 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
19 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
20 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
21 NRAI has notified the Commission that Telehub Network
22 Services Corporation is no longer their client.

23 **18. Teltrust Communications Services, Inc.**

24 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
25 Teltrust Communications Services, Inc. asking it to report

1 its gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002.
2 The Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
3 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
4 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
5 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
6 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
7 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
8 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
9 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
10 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
11 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
12 this statement either.

13 Q. What happened next?

14 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
15 to Teltrust Communications Services, Inc. stating that its
16 first half payment of the regulatory fee had not been
17 received. The amount owed, including calculated interest
18 of 6% per annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no
19 later than October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire
20 year's assessment.

21 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

22 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
23 has not received Teltrust Communications Services, Inc.'s
24 2002 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of
25 January 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

1 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
2 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
3 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

4 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
5 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
6 unable to forward, moved left no address, return to
7 sender."

8 Q. Does Teltrust Communications Services, Inc.
9 have a current Certificate of Authority issued by the
10 Secretary of State to do business in Idaho?

11 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
12 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
13 information pertaining to Teltrust Communications
14 Services, Inc. According to the Secretary of State's
15 records, Teltrust Communications Services, Inc.'s
16 Certificate was administratively revoked in November 2001.

17 Q. Why is this significant?

18 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
19 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
20 regulatory fee.

21 Q. Do you believe Teltrust Communications
22 Services, Inc. receives mail at the address on file with
23 the Commission Secretary?

24 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
25 Teltrust Communications Services, Inc. was returned by the

1 Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to
2 forward, return to sender, moved left no address."

3 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
4 Teltrust Communications Services, Inc. communicated with
5 the Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

6 A. The Company has not communicated with the
7 Commission regarding fee assessments since July 25, 2000
8 when it paid the 2000 regulatory assessment fee of
9 \$126.27.

10 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
11 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
12 the Company's designated service agent?

13 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
14 Cause was sent via certified mail to CT Corporation System
15 at 300 N. 6th St, Boise, ID 83701; J. Hambleton signed the
16 return receipt on January 28, 2003.

17 **19. Touchtone Network, Inc.**

18 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
19 Touchtone Network, Inc. asking it to report its gross
20 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
21 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
22 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
23 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
24 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
25 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement

1 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
2 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
3 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
4 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
5 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
6 this statement either.

7 Q. What happened next?

8 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
9 to Touchtone Network, Inc. stating that its first half
10 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
11 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
12 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
13 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
14 assessment.

15 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

16 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
17 has not received Touchtone Network, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory
18 fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003)
19 and the fee is well past due.

20 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
21 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
22 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

23 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
24 by the Post Office as "attempted not known, return to
25 sender."

1 Q. Does Touchtone Network, Inc. have a current
2 Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of State
3 to do business in Idaho?

4 A. No. On January 15, 2003, I reviewed the
5 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
6 information pertaining to Touchtone Network, Inc.
7 According to the Secretary of State's records, Touchtone
8 Network, Inc.'s Certificate was forfeited in December
9 1996.

10 Q. Why is this significant?

11 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
12 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
13 regulatory fee.

14 Q. Do you believe Touchtone Network, Inc.
15 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
16 Secretary?

17 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
18 Touchtone Network, Inc. was returned by the Post Office as
19 "attempted not known, return to sender."

20 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
21 Touchtone Network, Inc. communicated with the Commission
22 regarding regulatory assessments?

23 A. The Company has never communicated with the
24 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
25 price list in October 1994.

1 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
2 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
3 the Company's designated service agent?

4 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
5 Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered
6 Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon
7 Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.
8 NRAI has notified the Commission that Touchtone Network,
9 Inc. is no longer their client.

10 **20. USA Tele Corp.**

11 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
12 USA Tele Corp. asking it to report its gross intrastate
13 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
14 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
15 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
16 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
17 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
18 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
19 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
20 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
21 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
22 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
23 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

24 Q. What happened next?
25

1 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
2 to USA Tele Corp. stating that its first half payment of
3 the regulatory fee had not been received. The amount
4 owed, including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was
5 now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October
6 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

7 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

8 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
9 has not received USA Tele Corp.'s 2002 regulatory fee of
10 \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and the
11 fee is well past due.

12 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
13 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
14 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

15 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
16 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
17 unable to forward."

18 Q. Does USA Tele Corp. have a current Certificate
19 of Authority issued by the Secretary of State to do
20 business in Idaho?

21 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
22 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
23 information pertaining to USA Tele Corp. According to the
24 Secretary of State's records, USA Tele Corp.'s Certificate
25 was administratively revoked in February 1998.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q. Why is this significant?

A. The Company is not authorized to conduct business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's regulatory fee.

Q. Do you believe USA Tele Corp. receives mail at the address on file with the Commission Secretary?

A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to USA Tele Corp. was returned by the Post Office as "unable to forward, return to sender."

Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time USA Tele Corp. communicated with the Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

A. The Company has not communicated with the Commission regarding fee assessments since May 9, 2000 when it paid the 2000 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon the Company's designated service agent?

A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show Cause was sent via certified mail to National Registered Agents, Inc. 1423 Tyrell Lane, Boise, ID 83706; Sharon Spangle signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003. NRAI has notified the Commission that USA Tele Corp. is no longer their client.

1 **21. USBG, Inc.**

2 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
3 USBG, Inc. asking it to report its gross intrastate
4 revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The Company did not
5 timely report its gross intrastate revenue. On April 22,
6 2002, a statement of their annual assessment fee was
7 mailed. Because the Company initially failed to report
8 its revenues, it was assessed the minimum fee of \$50 per
9 *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement also mentioned
10 that the fee may be paid in two equal installments, the
11 first due no later than May 15, 2002, and the second due
12 no later than November 15, 2002. However, the entire fee
13 could be paid no later than the first installment date.
14 The Company did not respond to this statement either.

15 Q. What happened next?

16 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
17 to USBG, Inc. stating that its first half payment of the
18 regulatory fee had not been received. The amount owed,
19 including calculated interest of 6% per annum, was now
20 \$25.57 for the first half due no later than October 15,
21 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's assessment.

22 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

23 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
24 has not received USBG, Inc.'s 2002 regulatory fee of
25

1 \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003) and the
2 fee is well past due.

3 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
4 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
5 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

6 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
7 by the Post Office as "attempted not known."

8 Q. Does USBG, Inc. have a current Certificate of
9 Authority issued by the Secretary of State to do business
10 in Idaho?

11 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
12 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
13 information pertaining to USBG, Inc. According to the
14 Secretary of State's records, USBG, Inc.'s Certificate was
15 administratively revoked in August 2001.

16 Q. Why is this significant?

17 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
18 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
19 regulatory fee.

20 Q. Do you believe USBG, Inc. receives mail at the
21 address on file with the Commission Secretary?

22 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to USBG,
23 Inc. was returned by the Post Office as "attempted not
24 known."

25

1 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
2 USBG, Inc. communicated with the Commission regarding
3 regulatory assessments?

4 A. The Company has not communicated with the
5 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 18, 1999
6 when it paid the 1999 regulatory assessment fee of \$50.

7 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
8 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
9 the Company's designated service agent?

10 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show
11 Cause was sent via certified mail to Stanley W. Welsh, 815
12 W. Washington, Boise, ID 83702. The return receipt was
13 signed on January 28, 2003; however, the signature was not
14 legible.

15 **22. Vista Group International, Inc.**

16 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
17 Vista Group International, Inc. asking it to report its
18 gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
19 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
20 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
21 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
22 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
23 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
24 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
25 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,

1 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
2 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
3 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
4 this statement either.

5 Q. What happened next?

6 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
7 to Vista Group International, Inc. stating that its first
8 half payment of the regulatory fee had not been received.
9 The amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
10 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
11 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
12 assessment.

13 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

14 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
15 has not received Vista Group International, Inc.'s 2002
16 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January
17 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

18 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
19 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
20 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

21 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
22 by the Post Office as "not deliverable as addressed,
23 unable to forward."
24
25

1 Q. Does Vista Group International, Inc. have a
2 current Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary
3 of State to do business in Idaho?

4 A. No. On January 15, 2003, I reviewed the
5 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
6 information pertaining to Vista Group International, Inc.
7 According to the Secretary of State's records, Vista Group
8 International, Inc.'s Certificate was administratively
9 revoked in July 2001.

10 Q. Why is this significant?

11 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
12 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
13 regulatory fee.

14 Q. Do you believe Vista Group International, Inc.
15 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
16 Secretary?

17 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to Vista
18 Group International, Inc. was returned by the Post Office
19 as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to forward."

20 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
21 Vista Group International, Inc. communicated with the
22 Commission regarding regulatory assessments?

23 A. The Company has not communicated with the
24 Commission regarding fee assessments since May 12, 1999
25 when it paid the 1999 regulatory assessment fee of \$66.33.

1 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
2 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
3 the Company's designated service agent?

4 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003, the Order to Show
5 Cause was sent via certified mail to Stanley W. Welsh, 815
6 W. Washington, Boise, ID 83702. The return receipt was
7 signed on January 28, 2003; however, the signature was not
8 legible.

9 **23. West End Communications, Inc.**

10 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
11 West End Communications, Inc. asking it to report its
12 gross intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
13 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
14 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
15 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
16 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
17 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
18 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
19 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
20 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
21 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
22 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
23 this statement either.

24 Q. What happened next?

25

1 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
2 to West End Communications, Inc. stating that its first
3 half payment of the regulatory fee had not been received.
4 The amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
5 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
6 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
7 assessment.

8 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?

9 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
10 has not received West End Communications, Inc.'s 2002
11 regulatory fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January
12 15, 2003) and the fee is well past due.

13 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
14 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
15 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

16 A. Yes, however, the certified mail was returned
17 by the Post Office as "return to sender, unable to
18 forward."

19 Q. Does West End Communications, Inc. have a
20 current Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary
21 of State to do business in Idaho?

22 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
23 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
24 information pertaining to West End Communications, Inc.
25 According to the Secretary of State's records, West End

1 Communications, Inc.'s Certificate was administratively
2 revoked in May 2002.

3 Q. Why is this significant?

4 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
5 business in Idaho, even if it had paid this Commission's
6 regulatory fee.

7 Q. Do you believe West End Communications, Inc.
8 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
9 Secretary?

10 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to West
11 End Communications, Inc. was returned by the Post Office
12 as "return to sender, unable to forward."

13 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time West
14 End Communications, Inc. communicated with the Commission
15 regarding regulatory assessments?

16 A. The Company has never communicated with the
17 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
18 price list in March 2001.

19 Q. To your knowledge, did the Commission
20 Secretary attempt to serve this Order to Show Cause upon
21 the Company's designated service agent?

22 A. Yes. On January 27, 2003 the Order to Show
23 Cause was sent via certified mail to TCS Corporate
24 Services, Inc at 5527 Kendall St., Boise, ID 83706; Kristi
25 Herring signed the return receipt on January 28, 2003.

1 **24. Western State Pay Phones**

2 On February 8, 2002, a letter was mailed to
3 Western State Pay Phones asking it to report its gross
4 intrastate revenue on or before April 1, 2002. The
5 Company did not timely report its gross intrastate
6 revenue. On April 22, 2002, a statement of their annual
7 assessment fee was mailed. Because the Company initially
8 failed to report its revenues, it was assessed the minimum
9 fee of \$50 per *Idaho Code* ' 61-1004(3). This statement
10 also mentioned that the fee may be paid in two equal
11 installments, the first due no later than May 15, 2002,
12 and the second due no later than November 15, 2002.
13 However, the entire fee could be paid no later than the
14 first installment date. The Company did not respond to
15 this statement either.

16 Q. What happened next?

17 A. On October 1, 2002, a second letter was sent
18 to Western State Pay Phones stating that its first half
19 payment of the regulatory fee had not been received. The
20 amount owed, including calculated interest of 6% per
21 annum, was now \$25.57 for the first half due no later than
22 October 15, 2002 or \$50.57 for the entire year's
23 assessment.

24 Q. Has the Company paid its 2002 regulatory fee?
25

1 A. No. As of February 24, 2003, the Commission
2 has not received Western State Pay Phones' 2002 regulatory
3 fee of \$51.01 (interest included as of January 15, 2003)
4 and the fee is well past due.

5 Q. Did the Commission attempt to serve a copy of
6 Order No. 29185, directing the Company to appear at the
7 Show Cause Hearing, via certified mail?

8 A. Yes, however, the mail was returned by the
9 Post Office as "returned to sender, no receptacle."

10 Q. Does Western State Pay Phones have a current
11 Certificate of Existence issued by the Secretary of State
12 to do business in Idaho?

13 A. No. On January 15, 2003 I reviewed the
14 Secretary of State's website for the Certificate
15 information pertaining to Western State Pay Phones.
16 According to the Secretary of State's records, Western
17 State Pay Phones has never had a Certificate of Existence.

18 Q. Why is this significant?

19 A. The Company is not authorized to conduct
20 business in Idaho because it is in violation of *Idaho Code*
21 §§ 53-504 and 53-509.

22 Q. Do you believe Western State Pay Phones
23 receives mail at the address on file with the Commission
24 Secretary?

25

1 A. No. All of the correspondence mailed to
2 Western State Pay Phones was returned by the Post Office
3 as "return to sender, no receptacle".

4 Q. To your knowledge, when was the last time
5 Western State Pay Phones communicated with the Commission
6 regarding regulatory assessments?

7 A. The Company has never communicated with the
8 Commission regarding fee assessments since filing their
9 price list in August 1997.

10 **RECOMMENDATION**

11 Q. What is your recommendation regarding
12 disposition of the 24 companies in Case No. GNR-U-03-3?

13 A. If these companies fail to appear at the Show
14 Cause Hearing, I recommend that the Hearing Officer enter
15 a default against the companies as provided in Procedural
16 Rule 301 IDAPA 31.01.01.301. I further recommend that the
17 Commission issue an Order finding that the companies have
18 failed to report their 2001 intrastate operating revenues,
19 and have failed to pay their 2002 regulatory fees. As
20 noticed in Order No. 29185 in this matter, I believe it is
21 appropriate for the Commission to Order the 24 companies
22 to cease operating in Idaho until they have come into
23 compliance by paying the appropriate regulatory fees.
24 Furthermore, local exchange companies should be directed
25 by the Commission to deny or prohibit interconnection or

1 the carriage of traffic for these companies. If the
2 companies fail to pay their delinquent regulatory fees and
3 report their revenues for 2001, I would further recommend
4 that their tariffs/price lists be removed from the
5 Commission's files and the companies' certificates
6 cancelled if applicable.

7 Q. Does that conclude your direct testimony?

8 A. Yes it does.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25